		DISTRICT COU CCT OF NEW Y				
CHRISTOPHER BLACKMON,			<b>-</b>	x :		
		Petitioner,	- American Co. Controlled Automatic Processing Systems	• •	13-cv-6797 10-cr-811	
UNITED ST	STATES,	-v-			ORDER	
				•	E. sale	
		Respondent		× 1/2	ylig	
JED S. R	AKOFF,	U.S.D.J.	The second control of the Second Seco	Star 181 of the American State of the Commerce	V I - 2	

On June 10, 2014, Magistrate Judge Kevin N. Fox issued a Report and Recommendation in the above-captioned matter recommending denial of the petition filed by Christopher Blackmon, pro se, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

Petitioner has failed to file any objection to the Report and Recommendation after receiving notice that he was required to file any objections and that a failure to do so would result in a waiver of his right to further review. For that reason alone, petitioner has waived any right to review by this Court. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 147-48 (1985); Zaretsky v. Maxi-Aids, Inc., 529 F. App'x 97, 98 (2d Cir. 2013) (summary order); Small v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 892 F.2d 15, 16 (2d Cir. 1989).

Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Report and Recommendation, and, for the reasons therein, dismisses the petition with prejudice. In addition, because petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional

## Case 1:13-cv-06797-JSR-KNF Document 15 Filed 07/24/14 Page 2 of 2

right, a certificate of appealability will not issue. <u>See</u> 28

U.S.C. § 2253. Moreover, the Court certifies that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, as petitioner's claim lacks any arguable basis in law or fact, and therefore permission to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> is also denied. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. §

1915(a)(3); <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); <u>Vann v. Comm'r of N.Y.C. Dep't of Corr.</u>, 496 F. App'x 113, 114 (2d Cir. 2012) (summary order). Clerk to enter judgment.

SO ORDERED.

JED S. RAKOFF, U.S.D.J

Dated: New York, New York July 23, 2014